

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,015	11/25/2003	Timothy P. Mate	341148004US2	7001
69414 CALYPSO ME	7590 11/29/2007 EDICAL / PERKINS CO	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 124'	7	ROZANSKI, MICHAEL T		
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3768	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/722,015	MATE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Michael Rozanski	3768				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a replay and will expire SIX (6) MONT ate, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		·				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	October 2007.					
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>98-107</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>98-107</u> is/are rejected.	•					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	* · · ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).♡				
 Certified copies of the priority documer 	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	·					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	·	eceived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure	•	agaived				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies flot in	eceiveu.				
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	immary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/25/07</u> .	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/722,015

Art Unit: 3768

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 98-107 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Examiner acknowledges submission of Terminal Disclaimers to overcome provisional double patenting rejections over application 10/743,531 and 10/721,491. Accordingly, these double patenting rejections are withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 98-107 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Vilsmeier et al* (US 6,611,700) in view of *Doron et a*l (US 6,239,724).

Vilsmeier et al disclose a method and apparatus for positioning a patient 1 lying on a bench 9 for radiation treatment. The patient is positioned such that the isocenter 3 is located in the center of the tumor 2 to be irradiated. A glass fiber cable 6, which serves as a position sensor, is attached to a controller 8 so that the position and directional vector of the outgoing glass fiber 6 is clearly defined by a connecting point serving as a fiducial point to permit obtaining information regarding the location of the

Application/Control Number: 10/722,015

Art Unit: 3768

glass fiber 6 as a whole using this fiducial point. The other end of the cable 6 is implanted in the patient body 1 and fixed in the site of the tumor 2, the end point 4 of the cable 6 not being located on the tumor. By using the positional information of the cable 6 established by the controller 8, the absolute momentary position of the tumor 2 can be detected by determining the position of the end point 4 and/or of a further optional point 5 on the cable. The controller detects the three-dimensional position of individual points 4, 5 and is then able to determine whether the tumor 2 is in the permitted site circumscribing the isocenter and to suitably control the patient bench 9 to position the tumor 2 and/or the radiation source accordingly. The radiation source turns OFF when the tumor 2 moves out of the isocenter 3 and back ON when tumor 2 is in the isocenter 3 (col 4, line 56-col 5, line 26). The controller 8 is a device that loads and executes computer program code and, therefore, is a computer including computer operable instructions. In addition, the controller 8 repeatedly receives (i.e. 12 times per minute) positional information of the individual points 4, 5, determines a location of the marker relative to a frame of reference defined by positional information of the glass fiber cable 6, and computes a displacement between the location of the marker and a desired location of the marker wherein the target is located at a desired situs in the reference frame when the marker is at the desired location for the marker (see col 5, lines 17-26). This also indicates that the bench 9 is moved according to the actual location of the target if a displacement between the actual location of the target and a desired location for the target is beyond an acceptable range.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,015

Art Unit: 3768

Vilsmeier et al disclose that the position sensor is a glass fiber cable, thereby not transmitting information wirelessly. However, Vilsmeier et al also states that in principle, any sensor may be used as the position sensor enabling the three-dimensional location (col 2, lines 16-19). Doron et al teaches of a system and method used to position a medical instrument and/or direct a medical procedure within a patient's body, such as a therapeutic radiation treatment. System 100 provides spatial positioning information from within a patient's body including an implantable telemetry unit 102 for wirelessly transmitting information from inside the body to extracorporeal unit 116 located outside the body for analysis (col 8, lines 18-60; see figure 1). It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Vilsmeier et al to include a wireless marker, as taught by Doron et al, because Vilsmeier teaches that alternate sensors capable of providing three dimensional information are suitable for use in their arrangement and Doron et al teaches one such arrangement. For example, a wireless sensor may be used because the use of wire connections prevents the use of this design in intracranial applications, may serve as potential conduits for infection, cannot be left inside the body for long periods of time, and can result in loss of positioning information if the wire breaks (col 3, line 60-col 4, line 9).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Rozanski whose telephone number is 571-272-1648. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8-4:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,015 Page 5

Art Unit: 3768

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MC MR

ANGELA D. SYKES
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

angel D. Ah,